UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

DOLAN R. SANSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:10CV38

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION/OPINION

On March 22, 2010, the plaintiff, Dolan R. Sanson, by Belinda S. Morton, his attorney, filed

a Complaint in this matter [DE 1]. On May 24, 2010, Defendant filed his Answer to the Complaint,

along with a copy of the Transcript of the Administrative Proceedings in this matter [DE 7, 8, 9].

Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment was therefore due on or before June 24, 2010. A review

of the docket revealed Plaintiff had not filed his Motion for Summary Judgment and Memorandum

in Support thereof as of August 23, 2010. On August 24, 2010, the undersigned United States

Magistrate Judge ordered that on or before September 7, 2010, Plaintiff show good cause in writing,

why he failed to timely file his Motion for Summary Judgment and Memorandum in Support in

accord with the Local Rules. The Order expressly warned that failure to show cause would result

in the undersigned recommending to the District Judge that this case be dismissed for failure to

prosecute.

A review of the docket shows that as of September 8, 2010, Plaintiff had not filed any

response to the Order to Show Cause.

**RECOMMENDATION** 

For the above reasons, the undersigned respectfully **RECOMMENDS** that the plaintiff's

Complaint (Docket No. 1) be **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** for failure to prosecute and for

failure to comply with the Local Rules and this Court's Order of August 24, 2010.

Any party may, within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy of this Report and

Recommendation, file with the Clerk of the Court written objections identifying the portions of the

Report and Recommendation to which objection is made, and the basis for such objection. A copy

of such objections should also be submitted to the Honorable Robert E. Maxwell, United States

District Judge. Failure to timely file objections to the Report and Recommendation set forth above

will result in waiver of the right to appeal from a judgment of this Court based upon such Report and

Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984),

cert. denied, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); Thomas v. Arn,

474 U.S. 140 (1985).

The Clerk of the Court is directed to send a copy of this Report and Recommendation to

counsel of record.

DATED: September 8, 2010.

JOHN S. KAULL

John S. Kaull

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE